

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,876	04/10/2001	Shuichi Kikuchi	' 10417-076001	7681
26211 7	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. CITIGROUP CENTER 52ND FLOOR			OWENS, DO	OUGLAS W
153 EAST 53RD STREET NEW YORK, NY 10022-4611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2811		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/829,876	KIKUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Douglas W Owens	2811	/			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	ovember 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-28 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-20 and 22-28 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	from consideration					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 10, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 5 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent No. 5,578,514 to Kwon et al.

Regarding claim 5, Kwon et al. teaches a method of making a semiconductor device comprising the steps of:

implanting an impurity of a first type (23) in a semiconductor substrate (12) of a second type in a single implantation step;

providing a first gate insulation film (26) by applying heat treatment in a single step;

diffusing the implanted impurity (Col. 2, line 65 - Col. 3, line 9);

Art Unit: 2811

providing a second gate insulation film (30) on the substrate in a different location than the first gate insulation film;

providing a gate electrode (32) that spans from the first gate insulation film to the second gate insulation film;

providing a source region (34) of the first conductivity type; and providing a third drain region (36) of the first conductivity type.

Kwon et al. does not explicitly teach that the impurity region (23) is diffused such that a first drain region is formed, wherein the first drain region has a lower impurity concentration than the second drain, and further, where the second drain region is above the first drain region. Kwon et al. teaches performing a thermal step for the purpose of diffusion drive in after the implantation step. Kwon et al. discloses that the diffusion drive in step is performed at approximately 1100° C for approximately 120 – 240 minutes (Col. 3, lines 6 – 10). The Applicant discloses that diffusing a first implant forms the first and second drain regions. The method taught by Kwon et al. would have inherently resulted in a device having first and second drain regions as claimed in the instant application since the steps performed subsequent to the implant are nearly identical.

Regarding claim 21, Kwon et al. teaches a method of manufacturing a device, wherein the source region is in direct contact with the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,876 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7 - 20 and 22 - 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed November 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

Applicant argues that there is no teaching in Kwon et al. of a first and second drain region, asserting that "All that is disclosed by the Kwon et al. patent is that a dopant region 23 (see FIG. 1) is driven in to produce the N-type drift region 24...". Examiner agrees that Kwon et al. teaches a single implant (23), which is then driven in using a thermal process. The first and second drain regions of the instant application are produced by performing a single implant (3) and then performing a thermal process to drive in the implant. It is not reasonable to expect two different structures to be fabricated, because the two processes are the same. Additionally, it is known that the process performed by Kwon et al. would have resulted in the same doping profile as that of the instant application. This is further evidenced by Campbell in figures 3-8 to 3-10 of pages 49 and 50, where Campbell shows the typical doping profiles of several ions in silicon. Applicant has not shown how the same process used by Kwon et al. results in a different structure in the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2811

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DWO

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800